

Focus **Ukraine**

Scope Economics

> July 2012

Quarterly Report Stretched, but holding up



Alexander Valchyshen Kiev, +38 044 2200120 alexander.valchyshen@icu.ua

PLEASE READ THE DISCLOSURES SECTION FIRST FOR IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES AND ANALYST CERTIFICATION

Contents

Executive summary	4
Politics & geopolitics	6
Run-up to elections this October	6
Future government	7
Geopolitics: "Soft" isolation to the West and East	8
Global economy	10
Indicators vital to Ukraine's economy	10
Ukraine's economy	13
Economic activity: On less solid footing	13
Fiscal policy: Consolidation pauses, pre-election easing unfolds	15
Monetary policy: "Muddle-through" approach	16
Balance of payments: Current account little changed, capital flows out	17
Yearly forecast for 2012-14, base-case scenario	21
Quarterly forecast for 2012-14, base-case scenario	22
Appendices: Thematic charts & tables	23
Ukraine's political map after October 2012 (update)	24
Quarterly GDP: Reported statistics and ICU's calculations	
ICU consumer basket: Observation of Kiev, New-York and Moscow prices	29

Executive summary

Our current revision of our April macroeconomic forecast for the 2012-14 period yields the following viewpoints:

Global economy conditions and outlook revised downward. The global economy has still been adjusting after the economic and financial crisis of 2007-08 sent it reeling. While post-crisis adjustments did take place in small economies like the Baltics and others, the current adjustment underway in the Eurozone, due to the region's economic size, institutional make-up and, national perceptions on the necessary changes, are proving to be messy, lengthy, and having a negative impact on key trading partners. This is why the Eurozone debt crisis has spread negative investor sentiment to other economies. Our macroeconomic forecast on Ukraine is based upon global indicators that have been revised lower, like world economic growth and Russia's economic growth, as well as crude oil, at less than US\$100/bbl, and steel prices that are projected to move in a downward trajectory over the next 12-month period, followed by a period of gradual recovery.

Ukraine's economy has been flirting with recession. The available statistics for 1H12 showed that Ukraine's economy was on the edge of falling into a double-dip recession. However, after a 0.3% QoQ¹ contraction in 1Q12, the economy grew by an estimated 0.8% QoQ, thanks to the positive impact of Euro-2012 on construction and retail trade. Other sectors like industry and transport are indeed slowly contracting, hinting that these sizably export-dependent sectors are feeling the brunt of a global slowdown. Prospects for the agriculture sector lean towards underperformance in comparison with the previous season of record-high harvest. All in all, the domestic economy is likely to drag its feet over 2H12, as it is still flirting with recession. In 2012, real GDP growth was revised downward to 1.9% YoY. An acceleration in growth is to follow in 2013 and 2014, of 3.1% and 4.0%, respectively.

Fiscal and external balances of Ukraine's economy. Lower growth projections for Ukraine's economy should lead to wider fiscal deficits that rose into the range of 1.1-1.6ppt in the 2012-13 period. Hence, the public debt level as measured by the debt-to-DGP ratio is going to start growing, as the state budget is expected to be run with the primary balance in deficit, i.e., towards the 40-45% level over the forecasted period, assuming that authorities avoid sizable currency devaluation but allow gradual flexibility. Due to the negative trajectory between prices in Ukraine's two main trade sectors—steel prices on the exports side, and natural gas prices on the imports side—the current account deficit projection for 2012 was revised to an increase of 0.5ppt, from 4.9% of GDP as of our estimates this April to 5.4% of GDP as of July. However, there is a silver lining in our current revision of macro forecasts. In 2013, the current account deficit is projected to contract to 2.4% of GDP (back in April, the 2013 C/A deficit forecast was 3.1%), thanks to expectations for a lower crude oil price than was seen previously.

Hryvnia. The exchange rate of the UAH versus the USD is expected to be guarded by the NBU's interventionist approach to FX policy. This said, however, the hryvnia's flexibility is being allowed in a measured and gradual manner. While pursuing this approach on the UAH, authorities are expected to allow an FX reserves reduction as sovereign external debt

¹ QoQ stands for quarter-on-quarter growth in seasonally adjusted terms.



owed to IMF is gradually paid back. We retain our April's forecast that this year's BoP shortage is to amount to more than US\$6bn, and will be covered by the use of FX reserves. Next year, in 2013, IMF lending to Ukraine is expected to resume, allowing for a BoP shortage of US\$1.5bn. In the year 2014, which precedes presidential elections, Ukraine's authorities will find it harder to adhere to the IMF programme; hence, it may be shelved again. The UAH's exchange rate, currently bearing a negative bias from market players, is set to weaken, as flexibility is gradually being apportioned by the authorities; hence, we forecast the UAH to be at 8.30/USD at year-end 2012, and then at 8.50/USD at year-end 2013.

Politics & geopolitics

In our view, after this fall's parliamentary elections, the political *status quo* in Ukraine will be renewed. Ruling incumbents are also expected to re-establish their presence in the legislature, allowing President Yanukovych to govern with more ease over the next two years, leading up to presidential elections in early 2015. Our base-case scenario envisages a new government to be installed, albeit with familiar faces. This change will represent a sort of bid to heal relations with the West and engender better access to lenders there, and hence less costly funding).

Our basic model for October's elections still indicates a comfort zone for the ruling incumbent to parties

Run-up to elections this October

An update² to our model on the parliamentary elections, which we introduced in our spring *Quarterly Report:* "Pinned again?"³, to assess the most likely outcome, confirms our previous call made back in April that the ruling incumbents are poised to retain their hold on the parliamentary majority.

While previously, our model showed 274 MPs for the incumbents, now it foresees a 270strong majority on the back of a recent shifts in voters' sentiment. Indeed, sentiment has been evolving, and there are, in our view, some key factors behind this shift.

First, there was an upward trend in the number of undecided voters, as their share rose to 27.2%, according to the poll carried out at the end of May, while nearly a year ago, this share stood at 17.4%. Second, individual rankings of the parties have seemingly touched the bottom of an apparent resistance level.



Note: parties that are perceived as ruling ones after the October elections are in bold. Source: Investment Capital Ukraine LLC.

Chart 2. Evolution of public approval rating: Ruling parties versus opposition

Based on opinion polls made by Kiev International Institute for Sociology (KIIS)



Note: Ruling parties are Party of Regions, Strong Ukraine led by Mr Tigipko, Communist Party and "Ukrayina – Vpered" led by Ms Korolevska; opposition parties are Batkivschyna led by Ms Tymoshenko and Mr Yatsenyuk, "Udar" led by Mr Klichko and "Svoboda" led by Mr Tyagnybok.

Sources: KIIS, Investment Capital Ukraine LLC

² See appendix section, entitled "Ukraine's political map after October 2012 (update)" on page 22.

³ Published in April 2012.



Political marriages have proven to be a failure in terms of consolidating voters Third, it appears that the strategy used by political unions does not pay off. This is evidenced by the fact that two alliances (the Party of Regions, with Serhiy Tigipko's "Strong Ukraine," and Yulia Tymoshenko's Batkivschyna party with Arseniy Yatsenyuk's "Front Zmin") did not gain in the opinion polls. For instance, before their political union, Ms Tymoshenko's and Mr Yatsenyuk's parties enjoyed 14.0% and 7.9%, respectively, in the February 2012 poll by Kiev International Institute for Sociology (KIIS). After declaring a joint effort for gaining as many seats in the legislature as possible this October, their total support base was measured at 15.9% in the April poll and at 14.4% in May's. The same holds true for the recent political marriage of the Party of Regions with Mr Tigipko's party, "Strong Ukraine," and it appears that this political tactic has paid off, at least for the time being.

Independent political newcomers are causing a stir

Fourth, there is an obvious shift toward a kind of renewal of the *status quo* political establishment in Ukraine. The political party "Udar" (which stands for "punch" in Ukrainian) of the 40-year-old practicing heavyweight boxer Vitaly Klichko personifies this shift. It has turned out that in the absence of independent political formation led by a representative of new-generation moderate politicians (under 40 years old)—the result of Mr Yatsenyuk's decision to join forces with Ms Tymoshenko's party—voters started to flow toward the support base of Mr Klichko's Udar. The latter decided to stay non-affiliated and take part in the October elections as an independent force. As a result, Klichko has nearly doubled its party's public approval rating over the past year, from a position of political obscurity to the position of political challenger, with a solid chance of beating the Communist Party by popular vote (see Chart 2 above and Table 5 on page 26).

It was probably not a coincidence that Mr Klichko is now trying to repeat his success via a political project called "Ukraiyna – Vpered!" (stands for the Ukrainian football-related slogan, "Go ahead, Ukraine!"), led by 37-year-old Natalya Korolevska, an ex-member of Ms Tymoshenko's faction in the parliament. An intensive prime-time TV advertisement campaign launched just recently by this party prompted allegations that the party has backing from the ruling incumbents. That is why we consider this party as future member of a kind of unofficial coalition between Party of Regions and Communist Party, if it gets through the 5% threshold.

Future government

Our view on local politics yields three scenarios as for the next government leadership In our view, after having entered a kind of political isolation due to the controversial jailing of former PM Yulia Tymoshenko, earning the country a "dictatorship" tag from German chancellor Angela Merkel, Ukraine President Yanukovych has an uphill task to fix this somewhat humiliating, external view on the economy. As most of the decisive decision-making over the economy was extended until the end of elections, all the planned reshuffling in Mr Yanukovych's government is likely to take place at the end of this year, taking into account the political shape of the new parliament. In our view, therefore, we present the following likely scenarios:

1) Worst case: Status-quo maintained (40% probability). The government, currently led by PM Azarov, is reinstated. The current government has a positive track record, as it restored the primary balance of the state budget (see Chart 9, pp.15); however, leaving the government intact would mean that such a decision will deprive the economy of positive momentum going forward, which could have been developed if new blood and fresh minds had been introduced.

- Our base-case scenario envisages slight makeover changes in the government
- 2) Base case: New PM installed (50% probability). If the election results end according to our expectations (with ruling incumbent parties retaining their majority), than it is quite likely that Mr Yanukovych would decide to infuse some "new blood" into the government by installing 52-year-old Serhiy Tigipko, who is currently deputy prime minister. Most of the current ministers would retain their posts, including such market-friendly names as First Deputy Prime Minister Valieriy Khoroshkovsky (43 years old) and Economy Minister Petro Poroshenko (47) among others, e.g., Finance Minister Yuriy Kolobov (39).
- 3) Best case: "New generation" (10% probability). This is a best-case scenario, under which an under-50-year old will be installed as the PM. This could be either Valieriy Khoroshkovsky or Petro Poroshenko, who could regard themselves as modernisers and liberals, if compared to the previous ranks of PMs.

Geopolitics: "Soft" isolation to the West and East

Ukraine's government is becoming more isolated from the West, and facing ever more demanding talks in the East, notably, with Russia At this moment in time, Ukraine's authorities have, in all fairness, gotten into a kind of soft isolation on both sides of its geopolitical map—on the West as well as on the East, for which there are reasons. To the West, there seems to be less enthusiasm for Ukraine's president, as the country's democratic standards have plunged, and both domestic and foreign accusations of selective justice (in the case of former PM Tymoshenko) are rampant. To the East, where Russia is a dominant player, Ukraine's leadership is being received in the capital, Moscow; however, these meetings have chilly undertones, as well.

Under all of the above-mentioned scenarios for the future government, we assume President Yanukovych would seek to restore cooperation with the IMF as soon as mid-4Q12 (right after the elections), for the sake of: 1) regaining credit from private lenders; and 2) gradually repaying its debt to the IMF, as well as moving away from its dependency on the Fund in terms of economic policymaking. This move will also serve as a kind of a bid to unlock Ukraine's economic isolation from the West as private lenders in the Eurobond market who regard the country's sovereign credit as highly risky.

By installing an individual with a more fresh perspective for the post of PM in the government (as our base- and best-case scenarios assume), Ukraine's leadership may restart a dialogue with the Western leaders, in an attempt to fix its marred image.

It is much more complicated in the East, where the Kremlin is seeking more tangible concessions such as allowing Gazprom into the ownership of natural gas pipeline system, and participation of Ukraine in the Kremlin-led, pan-Eurasian customs union. Both issues are hard to swallow for the current Ukraine leadership, as it would be hugely unpopular politically, and quite an inconvenient development for the private sector of Ukraine that is dominated by large business groups, who do not wish to allow in foreign competitors backed by formidable sovereigns.

For Ukraine's leadership, it would be an arduous task to heal relations with the West Ultimately, it will be quite difficult for President Yanukovych to soften the West's scepticism. This fall, parliamentary elections may represent a hurdle for this endeavour if, for instance, official results differ from exit polls. The current, uneasy relations between incumbent ruling parties and those in opposition hint that this fall, elections are not going to go off as easily as some would hope. In the East, there is the opposite attitude with regard to the domestic political issues. The Kremlin is striving to bring Ukraine's economy under its own economic and political terms and umbrella. For it, Ukraine encountering deeper isolation from the West would be a more welcome development as it would open up more opportunity for



trade-offs with a government in Kiev that presides over an economy dependent on external financing.

However, we expect that the prospect of better access to lenders in the West will be left open This said, we expect that Ukraine's economy will at best start next year slightly better prepared to talk to its traditional lenders in the West, the IMF and Eurobond market investors.

Global economy

Global economic growth is stagnating, and some parts of the world are in a double-dip recession or else in a depression. Hence, global indicators for the upcoming period under our forecast, which is 2H12 and 2013-14, have been revised downward to reflect the deteriorated macroeconomic environment. Such a revision, however, may turn out to still have, in a few months or even weeks from now, a bias toward optimism. This is quite possible, as the current macro environment tends to evolve in a highly dynamic way.

Indicators vital to Ukraine's economy

Global economic growth

Global growth has been constantly below expectations; ... Our main global growth indicators, which are the world economic output and pace of economic expansion in Russia, originate from the IMF's semi-annually updated fundamental view on global economic developments and *ad hoc* revisions to this view. The latest such updated view was made public on 16 July⁴. Our previous report, published in April, while totally accepting the IMF's view on the global economy, contained a more optimistic view on the Russian economy; at that point, we argued that the conditions in the commodities market (due to geopolitical risk), with a crude oil price well above the US\$100 threshold, would benefit Russia's economic growth, and we used a higher real GDP forecast than the IMF was then advocating in its outlook.

... hence, we revised downward our current view on key global indicators compared to April's forecast Now, our assumptions are broadly aligned with the IMF's, as we agree with the concept that the global economy will grow at a rate this year that is well below expectations, and hence disappointing investors. Thus, we lowered our forecast on Russia's real GDP growth for 2012-14 in the range of 0.2-0.9ppt across the forecast period (see Table 1 next page). The geopolitical risks over Iran's nuclear programme are now being nearly totally counterweighted by the risks of economic stagnation, and some regions of double-dip recessions-as the epicentre of economic turmoil has shifted towards the Eurozone's highly indebted and recession-laden economies-are struggling, undergoing socially and politically harmful internal devaluations. While the trend of stagnation was smoothly and swiftly weathered by the smaller Baltic countries, which were keen to align themselves with possible future full memberships in the European Monetary Union, the opposite was happening in larger economies of the olde" EU countries, where the solutions are more compicated without the dreaded phenomenon of internal devaluation, which looks imminent for these countries going forward. The Eurozone has a great effect on the global economy, and it is Russia's key trading partner. Hence, those global macro indicators that vital for Ukraine have been revised downward due to acute economic developments in the Eurozone, in which recession, and in some places depression, have been taking place.

⁴ See World Economic Outlook Update "New Setbacks, Further Policy Action Needed". Link: http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/weo/2012/update/02/index.htm



Crude oil

Due to the weaker global economy, future crude oil prices are seen to be softer than we had forecast back in April, i.e., WTI crude is forecast at lower than US\$100/bbl Contrary to our previous macro prognosis in April, our current one has crude oil price (in terms of TWI crude) projections of lower than the US\$100/bbl threshold. Then, in the wake of the turbulent 1Q12, geopolitical risks were running high, and hence WTI crude oil projections were quite bullish, as for net exporters of crude oil, as the full-year price was seen at a hair above US\$108/bbl for 2012 on average, followed by US\$101/bbl on average for 2013, and only in 2014 was it seen below the \$100 mark, at US\$95/bbl. Today, our forecast envisages widespread concern that 1H12's economic performance was much weaker than expected. Notwithstanding geopolitical risks—which have still been lingering high, as the triangle of Iran, Israel, and the US is involved in a merciless confrontation over Iran's nuclear ambitions—world economic conditions spell out prime conditions for the crude oil market supply and demand balance, in which the latter (a weak world economy) counterweights the former (geopolitics over Iran).

Steel

The steel price forecast mirrors widespread expectations of weaker demand, so they, too, were revised downward The steel market has been quite sensitive to the global economic signs of weak growth prospects, which were discussed above in the "Crude oil" subsection. As Chart 4 shows, steel billet futures at the LME⁵ are pointing to a quite noticeable decline in prices, to US\$400 a tonne in a 3-month period from now, and then a gradual recovery until year-end 2013, towards US\$474 a tonne. The same trajectory of future price movement is foreseen for hot-rolled coils, which is a kind of benchmark for Ukraine's steel exports: these are forecast to reach a bottom of US\$484/tonne in 4Q12, and recover over the course of 2013 to US\$548/tonne.



Table 1. ICU's 3-year quarterly and yearly forecast for the global economy's key indicators that are vital to Ukraine's economy According to our base-case scenario

Indicator						Quart	erly fore	cast							Annual f	orecast ⁴	
_	4011	1012	2Q12E	3Q12F	4Q12F	1Q13F	2Q13F	3Q13F	4Q13F	1Q14F	2Q14F	3Q14F	4Q14F	2011	2012F	2013F	2014F
World real GDP1	3.0	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.5	3.9	4.0
Russia real GDP	1 4.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.0	3.9	4.0
Crude oil (US\$ ²)	93.91	102.99	93.38	93.38	90.75	92.00	92.00	92.00	92.00	89.75	89.75	89.75	89.75	94.92	95.13	92.00	89.75
Steel (US\$ ³)	624.00	624.00	612.00	548.00	484.00	516.00	548.00	548.00	548.00	548.00	548.00	548.00	548.00	677.00	567.00	540.00	548.00

Notes: [1] real GDP growth rate to previous year; [2] crude oil price is WTI crude and priced as per barrel; [3] steel price is HR coil price and priced as per tonne; [4] crude oil and steel prices are the average for the period.

Source: Investment Capital Ukraine.

⁵ London Metal Exchange (www.lme.com)



Ukraine's economy

After a 0.3% quarter-on-quarter contraction in the first quarter of the year, Ukraine's economy has posted a solid growth of 0.8% QoQ in seasonally adjusted terms in the second quarter. Hence, the economy did not fall into a double-dip recession. The impact of Euro-2012 on construction, and to some extent on retail trade, spurred on the economy in the 2Q12. In the 2H12, however, there will be no such supporting factor. Instead, the agricultural sector is expected to deliver a less plentiful harvest than last season, and the industrial sector is clearly stagnating, as external demand has been gradually softening. On the back of this, we revised downward our forecast for 2012 full-year real GDP growth to 1.9% YoY from our previous call of 3.5% YoY. Similarly, we revised downward our real GDP forecast for 2013 and 2014 by 1.5ppt and 1.7ppt to 3.1% YoY and 4.0% YoY, respectively.

Lower growth projections led to wider fiscal deficits, that were lifted to the range of 1.1-1.6ppt in the 2012-13 period. Due to the negative trajectory between prices in Ukraine's two main trade sector—steel prices on the exports side and natural gas prices on the imports side—the current account deficit projection for 2012 was revised to an increase of 0.5ppt, from 4.9% of GDP as of our estimates this April to 5.4% of GDP as of July. However, there is a silver lining to our current revision of macro forecasts. In 2013, the current account deficit is projected to contract to 2.4% of GDP (back in April, the 2013 C/A deficit forecast was 3.1%), thanks to expectations for a lower crude oil price than was seen previously.

The exchange rate of the UAH versus the USD is expected to be guarded by NBU's interventionist approach to FX policy. This said, however, the hryvnia's flexibility is being allowed in a measured and gradual manner. While pursuing this approach on the UAH, Ukraine's authorities are expected to allow a reduction in FX reserves as sovereign external debt owed to the IMF is gradually paid back. We maintain our April forecast that this year's BoP shortage is to amount to more than US\$6bn, and will be covered by the use of FX reserves. Next year, in 2013, IMF lending to Ukraine is expected to resume, allowing for a BoP shortage of US\$1.5bn. In the year 2014, which precedes presidential elections, Ukraine's authorities will find it harder to adhere to the IMF programme; hence, it may be shelved again. The UAH's exchange rate, bearing a negative bias from market players, is set to weaken, as flexibility is gradually apportioned by the authorities; hence, we forecast the UAH to be at 8.30/USD at year-end 2012, and then at 8.50/USD at year-end 2013.

Ukraine is likely to escape a technical recession in 2Q12, as still-vibrant household consumption supports growth; ...

Economic activity: On less solid footing

In our view, Ukraine's domestic economy has been stagnating over most of the 1H12, given the statistical data on the supply side of the economy available for the period of January-May. Official statistics reported a 2.0% YoY real increase in the quarterly GDP for 1Q12, with household demand as the primary driver of the growth, up by 9.8% YoY in the period. While fixed investments posted a quite healthy 7.6% YoY increase, it was household consumption that pulled the economy up, as it constituted a 71% of GDP in the 1Q.

Meanwhile, exports posted negative growth of 6.8% YoY, indicating that the industrial sector, which by and large exports its products and accounts for about one-quarter of GDP, was a fair disappointment. Indeed, the monthly industrial production statistics, which allow us to reconstruct a monthly index (see Chart 5), show that this part of the economy has been stagnating since January 2011—there has been no meaningful pick-up in the index since then—and it may stagnate further, as global demand has been softening well into most of 2012. In 1H12, industrial production rose by 0.4% YoY versus the same period of



2011. However, on seasonally adjusted terms, the industrial production index has been in a trend of gradual deterioration over the 1H12, particularly at the end of 2Q12. As of June 2012, the seasonally adjusted monthly industrial production index was 23% short of its precrisis peak.

... going forward, however, consumption will gradually weaken as the industrial part of the economy becomes stagnant under weaker external demand As for household consumption, it has been providing notable support to growth in 2Q12, as June's report on retail turnover (which contributes a 15% share of GDP) showed a strong reading, partially thanks to Euro-2012 (Chart 6). In particular, over 1H12, retail trade was up 16% versus the same period of 2011, and our calculation of the seasonally adjusted monthly volumes of retail trade shows that this part of the economy has well overcome the crisis, and now stands 24% above the pre-crisis peak seen in the second half of 2008.

As for the other major sectors of the economy—construction and transport, which contribute a 3% and 10% share to GDP, respectively—the former saw an acceleration of activity in the sector, as preparations for the Euro-2012 held in June peaked in May (see Chart 7), while the latter was on a path of gradual deceleration in activity, as cargo as well as passenger turnover data for 1H12 were in the red (see Chart 8).

All in all, thanks to some mild impact from Euro-2012, which was evident in both the retail and construction sectors, Ukraine's economy likely escaped a technical recession in 2Q12, as seasonally-adjusted quarter-on-quarter growth should be positive in this period versus a negative 0.3% growth in the 1Q12. We estimate that real GDP growth in 2Q12 was at 2.5% YoY, implying a seasonally adjusted increase of 0.8% versus the previous quarter.

Going forward, in our view, household consumption is going to be weaker, as the preelection fiscal stimulus spurred by President Yanukovych (social expenditures were rightfully extended before the official start of the election campaign on 1 August) will most likely be gradually counterbalanced by the persistent weakness in the private industrial sector due to falling external demand, which should create a drag on household consumption. Ultimately, we forecast a 2.4% real increase of GDP for the full-year 2012

Chart 5. Industrial production has been stagnating since early 2011, at 20% below its December 2007 level

Monthly index of industrial production (100 points as of December 1999) and its seasonal-adjusted series for the same period. History till June 2012



Chart 6. Consumer demand has been vibrant over the past couple of years, particularly in 1H12

Year-on-year growth rates of retail turnover in the country. Data of retail turnover is in comparable prices and seasonally adjusted. History from December 2000 till June 2012









Fiscal policy: Consolidation pauses, preelection easing unfolds

From Aug-11 until May-12, the government has kept the primary balance of the state budget at a near-zero level; ...

In terms of fiscal policy, there has been a fairly remarkable fiscal consolidation by the central government-it has been running a primary balance (largely at the near zero level)-from August 2011 until the most recent period for which statistics are available, for May 2012 (see Chart 9). Along the way, the government has been quite successful in containing growth of primary expenditures, while growth of revenues was much higher, allowing the government to eliminate the primary deficit seen in 2010 and in 1H11 (see Chart 10).

Chart 9. State budget deficit picked up in May nearing 2% of GDP

Overall and primary state budget balances on a 12-month rolling basis and as a percentage of GDP. History from November 2001 till May 2012



Chart 10. Growth rate of primary expenditures rose sharply, by more than 3ppt, in May

Year-on-year growth rates of state budget revenues and expenditures. Data is on a 12-month rolling basis. History from December 2002 till May 2012



Source: Ministry of Finance of Ukraine, Investment Capital Ukraine LLC.



However, the above-mentioned, positive trend is likely to reverse due to the economic slowdown of this year, which will engender state budget revenues of below the government's target, and include additional expenditures that were introduced into the state budget law in 1Q12. Hence, we expect that the primary balance will move into the red in 3Q12, and somewhat tighten in 2013, in order to strengthen public finances after parliamentary elections. However, the government is likely to allow a larger deficit again in 2014, in order to prepare for President Yanukovych's likely re-election to the presidency in 1Q15.

Going forward, our base-case scenario envisages a gradual pick up of the public debt⁶ level (in terms of share of GDP) from the current 35% as of end-1H12 to 38-40%, as weaker economic growth in real and nominal terms and a pre-election increase in budget expenditures spell an end to the declining trend in the debt-to-GDP ratio seen in the 2H11 and 1H12.

Chart 11. Public debt size in absolute terms (left, US\$bn) and relative terms (right, % of GDP). History through June 2012



Ukraine's public debt as percentage of GDP



Source: Ministry of Finance of Ukraine, Investment Capital Ukraine LLC.

NBU has been very cautious on moving away from the de-facto USDpegged FX regime, ...

Monetary policy: "Muddle-through" approach

In terms of monetary policy, authorities have been practicing a strategy of maintaining a thin balance between supporting the banking sector as well as the flow of credit in a functional manner, and keeping at bay any run on the local currency stemming from a deteriorating outlook on the part of both local businesses and the public on the authorities' FX regime of a de-facto peg of the UAH to the US dollar.

The NBU has been quite cautious in terms of shifting away from its FX policy of a pure peg to the USD towards a more flexible regime. Negative balance of payments developments in 2011 and in 2012 forced the NBU to reduce its FX reserves while defending the UAH's nominal stability on the local FX market. This monetary policy strategy resulted in quite limited monetary expansion, with base money growth declining towards 4% YoY and inflation-adjusted money supply growth to 11% YoY in May 2012 (see Chart 12 and Chart 13).

⁶ This includes direct debt as well as guaranteed debt.



... crystallising this approach into its monetary stance that will be held till the end of 2H12 While such monetary conditions spawn a high interest rate environment in the economy and lower bank lending activity, they have nevertheless been guarding the banking sector from a currency run like the one that took place in late 2008, when the NBU's refinancing operations with domestic banks resulted in hyper-lending activity, despite an interest rate spike. A widely held view is that such an abrupt liquidity injection ended up in the FX market. where a runaway devaluation of the local currency, the hryvnia, took place.

In 2H12, Ukraine's authorities are going to try to preserve a kind of status quo in fiscal and monetary policymaking, with the aim to smooth out the political outcome of this fall's parliamentary elections, i.e., to allow ruling incumbents to stay in power, via realising socially friendly policies like raising pensions and public sector wages. The exchange-rate policy is also a part of the so-called "social package" that the ruling parties are attempting to include as a part of their elections aspirations this year.

Chart 12. Money-creation by NBU has been extremely slow in the face of vulnerabilities of the domestic finances to pending global crisis

Year-on-year growth rates of monetary base and nominal GDP. History from December 2003 till May 2012. Data on GDP is 12-month rolling



Chart 13. Money supply in the economy - too tight for the economy that struggles with recovery from deep recession in 2008-09

Year-on-year growth rates of CPI-adjusted data on monetary aggregates. History from January 1997 till May 2012



Source: National Bank of Ukraine, Investment Capital Ukraine LLC.

Source: National Bank of Ukraine, Investment Capital Ukraine LLC.

Given that economy is expected to still be delivering growth in the 2H, albeit at a slower pace (of 2.4% YoY) than in 2011, which is our base case scenario, and external markets for Ukraine's exports are expected to recover over this period as well, Ukraine's authorities will realise in 2H their favoured strategy of "muddling through," i.e., giving up an activist monetary policy for the sake of providing in large part a kind of stability of the USD/UAH exchange rate until the end of this year. This approach also implies that going forward, monetary policy will gradually shift towards greater flexibility of the USD/UAH exchange rate. This approach corresponds with our FX forecasts for the 2012-14 period (see Table 3 and Table 4, pp.21-22).

Balance of payments: Current account little changed, capital flows out

The external balance is by and large a function of trade in minerals Our view on the future shape of the balance of payments has just marginally evolved since our previous publication of the Quarterly Report in early April of this year. Minerals trade is a cornerstone of the current account deficit in Ukraine of more than 5% of GDP. Although only recently, over 2Q12, imports of engineering goods, which include machinery and transport vehicles, accelerated quite noticeably (see charts below).



However, imports of transport vehicles were robust in 1H12, too, but there are one-off factors contributing to the rise of imports This has been especially true with regard to transport vehicles imports, which rose in May by 39.8% MoM, while machinery imports' monthly increase was quite modest, at 0.1% MoM. We associate the increase with two factors: first, Euro-2012 has forced the government to modernise some parts of its railway stock via purchasing high-speed, Korean-made locomotives; and, second, a likely one-off, abnormal spike in marine vessel imports in May⁷. These factors will likely not play a factor in trade going forward. This year's spike in transport vehicle imports, while increasingly similar to what was seen in early 2008, was nevertheless less consumer-driven. Then, in July 2008, credit-driven purchases of imported cars yielded a 94% share of surface vehicles imports (which are cars) in the total volume of imports of transport vehicles. There is no such dramatic bias in these kinds of purchases, as the share of surface vehicles (cars) in May was 52%. The rest, as was described above, was in imports of rail locomotives (an impact from Euro-2012) and of marine vessels (the impact of likely one-off, non-mass market purchases by the wealthy).

Chart 14. Trade balances in key merchandise groups (US\$bn)

Last 12-month rolling data. History from May 2002 through May 2012



Chart 15. Trade balance of goods (all goods) versus the trade balances of two deficit groups: minerals and engineering (US\$bn)





Crude oil price projections for 2012-14 provide a lower natural gas price in 2H12 and 2013, ... As Chart 15 shows, the 12-month, rolling trade deficit in minerals peaked to near US\$19.7bn in November 2011, and then subsided to US\$18.9bn this May. This deficit is set to gradually decline over 2H12 and 2013, as our current forecast on imported natural gas price based upon crude oil price projections brings lower prices than the previous forecast made this April.

However, still, this year's average price of US\$419 per 1,000 m³ is up 27.6% from 2011's average price of US\$329, implying that despite the government's confirmation that this year's total imports of natural gas will be at 35bcm, down from 44bcm in 2011, this year's current account deficit is projected to be slightly higher than in 2011 (see Chart 17).

⁷ In May 2012, imports of marine vessels amounted to US\$393.1m up by 145.7x from US\$2.7m of monthly imports seen in April 2012. A breakdown of monthly import volume of transport vehicles provides a more revealing picture why such an extraordinary rise took place in this category of merchandise imports: while in April 2012 surface vehicles imports were 79.4%; rail locomotives imports were at 18.1%; and marine vessels imports were a mere 0.3%; then in May 2012, the share of marine vessel imports in total monthly imports amounted to 35.8%, suppressing the share of rail locomotives imports to 11.2%, while the share of surface vehicles imports declined to 52.7%.

Ů

... leading to a lower current account deficit for 2013

In 2013, the imported natural gas price for Naftogaz is set to decline by 18.6% to a full-year average of US\$341, which (together with a lowered physical volume of natural gas imports of 32bcm) would translate into a lower volume of total imports, helped by a pick-up in steel prices (which are forecast to drop in 2H12 before recovering in 2013; see Chart 4 on pp.11). Eventually, the current account deficit for the full-year 2013 is forecast to contract towards US\$4.7bn, or 2.4% of GDP.





With regard to capital flows in the rest of 2012 and in full years of 2013 and 2014, we have updated our April balance of payments (BoP) model, which was constructed originally for 2012 only, extending it into the next two-year period (Table 2, pp.20). While constructing the model, we made the following assumptions.

First, regarding external debt owed by authorities, we took into account the debt repayments made to date in 2012 by the NBU and the government to the IMF, VTB, and Eurobond market investors, as well as the recent Eurobond sovereign bond issue of US\$2bn. For the rest of 2012, the assumption is that there will be no IMF lending restored.

Second, in 2013, Ukraine's authorities are likely to benefit from the IMF programme; however, they would secure a 50% roll-over ratio for the IMF debt due that year and owned by the NBU. Government is forecast to finance itself from the markets, tapping the Eurobond market with a US\$2bn issue each year in 2013-14. In 2014, Ukraine's authorities are to start preparing for presidential elections in 1Q15, and this would put the IMF programme in pause again; hence, the IMF debt due that year has a 0% roll-over ratio.

Third, VTB's US\$2bn loan, half of which was to be redeemed this June, and half prolonged until 2014, will be either repackaged into a tradable Eurobond with an extended maturity (i.e., beyond 2014) or eventually redeemed in 2014. This model assumes the latter.

Fourth, banks' deleveraging is to extend into 2013-14 at the same pace as is assumed for 2012. They are to redeem their Eurobonds due in this period and continue repaying other external debt with a rollover ratio of 77%, which we deem as healthy pace of deleveraging that does not prompt a flight of capital from the country.

Fifth, the corporate sector is assumed to have better access to external creditors than banks, and its roll-over ratio will be above 100%, effectively meaning a net inflow of capital into the economy.



Conclusion

Our BoP model for the 2012-14 period yields the following results: to a broad extent, it confirms our previous assessment for 2012 (made in the previous issue of our Quarterly Report) that more than US\$6bn of FX reserves will be spent this year by the NBU to cover BoP needs, and in the following years of 2013 and 2014, there will be nearly counterbalancing changes in FX reserves, as a US\$1.5bn FX reserves loss in 2013 would be offset by an addition of US\$1.5bn to reserves during 2014.

Table 2. Assessment of the balance of payments in 2012-14 from the perspective of current account size and capital account flows

	Balance o	f payments	s (US\$bn)			Rollover ratios
	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014 Comment
Current account balance	-9,569	-4,683	-2,925			
Short-term debt due next 12-months	-55,799	-57,613	-60,560			
Government						
Official lenders (IMF)	-757	-2,566	-2,555	0%	0%	0% ICU assumption
Russian banks (VTB)	-1,000	0	-1,000	0%	0%	0% ICU assumption
Eurobonds	-500	-1,000	0	400% ⁶	200%	0% ICU assumption
Domestic bonds in frgn ccy ⁵	-420	-1,606	-171	100%	100%	100% ICU assumption
Other	-946	1,550	104	100%	100%	100% All-time avg roll-over ratio for authorities (BoP monthly data)
Central bank						
Official lenders (IMF)	-2,617	-3,191	-1,051	0%	50%	0% ICU assumption
Other	-74	0	0	0%	0%	0% ICU assumption
Banks						
Eurobonds	-1,106	-15	-479	0%	0%	0% ICU assumption
Other lenders	-11,796	-9,114	-7,042	77%	77%	77% All-time avg roll-over ratio for banks (BoP monthly data) ¹
Corporations						
Eurobonds	-225	0	-1,645	0%	0%	90% ICU assumption
Loans	-10,691	-12,253	-13,738	113%	113%	113% All-time avg roll-over ratio for corporations (BoP monthly dat
Trade loans	-17,579	-20,147	-22,588	113%	113%	113% The same as bove
Other	-8,089	-9,271	-10,394	124%	113%	113% The same as above and net of inflow of election money ²
Demand for currency by local savers	-3,437	-4,437	-4,437			All-time avg (BoP monthly data) net of inflow of Euro-2012 ³
Total financing needs	-68,805	-66,734	-67,922			
FDI, inflows	7,503	7,564	7,682			ICU forecast for the period
Borrowings						
Government	3,365	2,056	2,067 ⁷			ICU calculations based on debt \mbox{due}^4 and roll-over ratios
Central bank	0	1,595	0			ICU calculations based on debt due ⁴ and roll-over ratios
Banks	9,114	7,042	5,441			ICU calculations based on debt due ⁴ and roll-over ratios
Corporations	41,929	47,010	54,186			ICU calculations based on debt due ⁴ and roll-over ratios
Total financing	61,912	65,267	69,376			
Addition to (use of) FX reserves	-6,894	-1,467	+1,454			

Notes: [1] adjusted up by 38%; [2] election money inflow is assessed to be at US\$1.5bn in 2012; [3] football fans' money spent during the tournament assessed to be at US\$1.0bn; [4] debt due in the period; [5] foreign currency denominated sovereign bonds issued at the domestic debt market; [6] this roll-over ratio reflects the fact that the MoF borrowed US\$2bn from the Eurobond market on 17 July 2012; [7] this figure includes a US\$2bn Eurobond issue by Ukraine's government.

Sources: National Bank of Ukraine, Investment Capital Ukraine LLC.

Yearly forecast for 2012-14, base-case scenario

Table 3. Forecast of key macroeconomic indicators for 2012-14 (annual)

					rical data					_		ecast by	CU
	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012F	2013F	2014
Activity													
Real GDP (%YoY)	5.2	9.6	12.1	2.7	7.3	7.9	2.3	-14.8	4.2	5.1	1.9	3.1	4.
Nominal GDP (UAHbn)	226	267	345	441	544	721	948	913	1,083	1,327	1,447	1,667	1,89
Nominal GDP (US\$bn)	42	50	65	87	108	143	184	114	136	166	178	196	22
GDP per capita (US\$, ann)	874	1,044	1,371	1,850	2,319	3,091	3,982	2,474	2,977	3,643	3,902	4,315	5,05
Unemployment rate (%)	9.6	9.1	8.6	7.2	6.2	6.4	6.4	8.8	8.1	8.2	7.9	7.9	7
Prices													
CPI headline (%YoY, eop)	-0.6	8.2	12.3	10.3	11.6	16.6	22.3	12.3	9.1	4.6	4.4	8.7	5
CPI headline (%YoY, average)	0.8	5.2	9.0	13.6	9.1	12.8	25.3	16.0	9.4	8.0	1.6	8.5	6
PPI (%YoY, eop)	5.8	11.2	24.3	9.6	15.4	23.2	21.1	15.3	18.8	17.4	11.2	9.4	7
PPI (%YoY, average)	3.1	7.8	20.3	17.0	9.6	20.5	33.6	7.4	21.4	19.9	9.2	10.6	8
Fiscal balance													
Consolidated budget bal. (UAHbn)	1.7	-0.5	-11.8	-7.7	-3.7	-7.7	-24.9	-67.5	-63.3	-18.3	-62.1	-58.5	-66
Consolidated budget bal. (% of GDP)	0.8	-0.2	-3.4	-1.7	-0.7	-1.1	-2.6	-7.4	-5.9	-1.4	-4.3	-3.5	-3
Budget balance (UAHbn)	1.2	-1.0	-10.2	-7.9	-3.8	-9.8	-12.5	-32.7	-63.0	-11.0	-51.4	-52.4	-59
Budget balance (% of GDP)	0.5	-0.4	-3.0	-1.8	-0.7	-1.4	-1.3	-3.6	-5.8	-0.8	-3.6	-3.1	-3
External balance													
Exports (US\$bn)	23.4	29.0	41.3	44.4	50.2	64.0	85.6	54.3	69.3	88.8	87.4	91.3	96
Imports (US\$bn)	21.5	27.7	36.3	43.7	53.3	71.9	100.1	56.2	73.2	97.8	96.9	96.0	99
Trade balance (US\$bn)	1.9	1.3	5.0	0.7	-3.1	-7.9	-14.5	-2.0	-4.0	-8.9	-9.6	-4.7	-2
Trade balance (% of GDP)	4.4	2.6	7.7	0.8	-2.8	-5.5	-7.9	-1.7	-2.9	-5.4	-5.4	-2.4	-1
Current account balance (US\$bn)	3.2	2.9	6.9	2.5	-1.6	-5.9	-12.9	-1.7	-3.0	-9.0	-9.6	-4.7	-2
Current account balance (% of GDP)	7.5	5.8	10.6	2.9	-1.5	-4.1	-7.0	-1.5	-2.2	-5.4	-5.4	-2.4	-1
Net FDI (US\$bn)	0.7	1.4	1.7	7.5	5.7	9.2	9.7	4.7	5.8	7.0	7.5	7.6	7
Net FDI (% of GDP)	1.6	2.8	2.6	8.7	5.3	6.4	5.3	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.2	3.9	3
C/A bal. + net FDI (% of GDP)	9.1	8.6	13.3	11.6	3.8	2.3	-1.8	2.6	2.0	-1.2	-1.2	1.5	2
External debt (US\$bn, eop)	N/A	23.8	30.6	39.6	54.5	80.0	101.7	103.4	117.3	126.2	129.9	131.5	133
External debt (% of ann'd GDP, eop)	N/A	47.5	47.2	45.6	50.4	55.8	55.3	90.9	86.1	75.9	72.9	67.0	58
FX reserves (US\$bn, eop)	4.2	6.9	9.5	19.4	22.3	32.5	31.5	26.5	34.6	32.8	24.9	23.4	24
FX reserves (% of ann'd GDP, eop)	10.0	13.8	14.7	22.3	20.6	22.6	17.2	23.3	25.4	19.7	14.0	12.0	10
External debt / FX reserves (x, eop)	N/A	3.4	3.2	2.0	2.4	2.5	3.2	3.9	3.4	3.9	5.2	5.6	5
FX reserves imports cov (months)	2.8	3.6	3.8	6.4	6.1	6.4	4.5	7.1	6.8	4.7	3.1	2.9	3
Interest rates													
Central bank key rate (%, eop)	7.00	7.00	9.00	9.50	8.50	8.00	12.00	10.25	7.75	7.75	7.25	7.00	7.0
3-month rate (%, eop 4Q)	N/A	17.91	15.03	11.46	9.90	7.58	21.60	17.59	6.12	19.72	8.00	8.00	8.0
Exchange rates													
UAH trade-weighted index (nominal)	77.64	66.81	67.19	77.84	70.90	64.93	45.89	46.09	53.28	56.87	53.85	52.50	52.4
UAH trade-weighted index (real)	70.35	67.17	70.39	80.94	74.89	70.21	52.42	49.11	54.76	54.70	53.95	54.30	52.3
UAH/US\$ (eop)	5.33	5.33	5.31	5.05	5.05	5.05	7.80	8.00	7.97	8.00	8.30	8.50	8.
UAH/US\$ (average)	5.33	5.33	5.32	5.10	5.03	5.03	5.25	8.03	7.95	8.03	8.14	8.50	8.
UAH/€ (eop)	4.75	5.60	6.71	7.20	5.97	6.66	7.36	10.90	11.45	10.66	10.21	10.20	9.
UAH/€ (average)	5.04	6.04	6.62	6.35	6.32	6.89	7.67	11.19	10.54	10.51	10.23	10.26	9.9
US\$/€ (eop)	1.05	1.26	1.36	1.18	1.32	1.46	1.40	1.43	1.34	1.30	1.23	1.20	1.
US\$/€ (average)	0.95	1.13	1.24	1.24	1.26	1.37	1.47	1.39	1.33	1.31	1.26	1.21	1.
Population													
Population (million, eop)	48.5	48.0	47.3	47.0	46.6	46.4	46.1	46.0	45.8	45.6	45.5	45.4	45
Population (%YoY)	-1.0	-0.9	-1.4	-0.8	-0.7	-0.6	-0.5	-0.4	-0.4	-0.3	-0.3	-0.1	-0

Notes: eop - end of period; cov. - coverage; con'd - consolidated; ann - annualised.

Sources: State Statistics Committee of Ukraine, National Bank of Ukraine, Investment Capital Ukraine LLC.

Quarterly forecast for 2012-14, base-case scenario

Table 4. Forecast of key macroeconomic indicators for 2012-14 (quarterly)

						Qua	rterly for	ecast bv l	CU				
	4011	1012	2Q12E	3Q12F	4Q12F	1013F	2013F	3013F		1Q14F	2Q14F	3Q14F	4Q14F
Activity													
Real GDP (%YoY)	4.6	2.0	2.5	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.5	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Nominal GDP (UAHbn)	359.3	297.0	342.3	404.7	402.6	338.2	389.5	469.1	470.1	388.1	443.3	532.1	531.1
Nominal GDP (US\$bn)	44.9	37.0	42.5	49.7	48.5	39.8	45.8	55.2	55.3	45.7	54.1	64.9	64.8
GDP per capita (US\$, ann)	3,640	3,704	3,772	3,832	3,901	3,963	4,037	4,160	4,311	4,441	4,623	4,836	5,045
Unemployment rate (%)	8.2	8.4	7.9	7.9	7.9	7.9	7.9	7.9	7.9	7.9	7.9	7.9	7.9
Prices													
CPI headline (%YoY, eop)	4.6	1.9	-1.2	1.4	4.4	7.0	9.8	9.6	8.7	7.1	6.1	5.7	5.4
CPI headline (%YoY, average)	5.0	2.9	-0.4	0.7	3.4	6.2	9.1	9.7	9.1	7.6	6.2	6.0	5.5
PPI (%YoY, eop)	17.4	9.5	7.5	7.7	11.2	12.5	9.9	10.2	9.4	8.9	8.4	7.9	7.4
PPI (%YoY, average)	19.2	11.7	8.2	7.8	9.0	12.7	9.7	10.3	9.7	9.1	8.6	8.1	7.6
Fiscal balance													
Consolidated budget bal. (UAHbn)	-18.1	-0.4	-17.2	-6.6	-38.0	-2.6	-16.1	-1.2	-38.6	-1.8	-18.1	-1.7	-44.9
Consolidated budget bal. (% of GDP)	-5.0	-0.1	-5.0	-1.6	-9.4	-0.8	-4.1	-0.3	-8.2	-0.5	-4.1	-0.3	-8.4
Budget balance (UAHbn)	-13.5	1.3	-14.7	-6.8	-31.1	-3.5	-14.1	-2.9	-31.9	-3.1	-15.9	-3.6	-37.0
Budget balance (% of GDP)	-3.8	0.4	-4.3	-1.7	-7.7	-1.0	-3.6	-0.6	-6.8	-0.8	-3.6	-0.7	-7.0
External balance													
Exports (US\$bn)	23.5	20.7	22.1	21.7	22.8	21.8	22.7	22.5	24.4	23.8	23.8	23.6	25.7
Imports (US\$bn)	27.1	22.5	23.3	23.9	27.2	23.4	23.0	23.6	26.0	24.3	24.2	24.5	26.7
Trade balance (US\$bn)	-3.6	-1.8	-1.2	-2.2	-4.4	-1.6	-0.4	-1.1	-1.6	-0.6	-0.3	-0.9	-1.0
Trade balance (% of GDP)	-7.9	-4.9	-2.8	-4.5	-9.0	-4.0	-0.9	-2.0	-2.9	-1.3	-0.6	-1.4	-1.6
Current account balance (US\$bn)	-4.0	-1.3	-1.4	-2.2	-4.6	-1.3	-0.5	-1.1	-1.7	-0.4	-0.4	-0.9	-1.1
Current account balance (% of GDP)	-8.9	-3.6	-3.3	-4.5	-9.5	-3.3	-1.1	-2.1	-3.1	-1.0	-0.8	-1.4	-1.7
Net FDI (US\$bn)	1.6	1.7	2.0	2.0	1.8	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9
Net FDI (% of GDP)	3.6	4.5	4.8	4.0	3.8	4.5	4.2	3.5	3.4	4.1	3.6	3.0	3.0
C/A bal. + net FDI (% of GDP)	-5.3	0.9	1.5	-0.5	-5.7	1.2	3.1	1.4	0.3	3.1	2.8	1.6	1.3
External debt (US\$bn, eop)	126.2	126.9	130.8	128.8	129.9	130.0	129.2	129.5	131.5	131.6	132.7	131.4	133.4
External debt (% of ann'd GDP, eop)	75.9	75.1	76.0	73.5	72.9	71.9	70.1	68.4	67.0	65.2	63.1	59.7	58.2
FX reserves (US\$bn, eop)	32.8	31.1	29.3	27.6	24.9	24.5	24.2	23.8	23.4	23.8	24.2	24.5	24.9
FX reserves (% of ann'd GDP, eop)	19.7	18.4	17.0	15.8	14.0	13.6	13.2	12.6	12.0	11.8	11.5	11.2	10.9
External debt / FX reserves (x, eop)	3.9	4.1	4.5	4.7	5.2	5.3	5.3	5.4	5.6	5.5	5.5	5.4	5.4
FX reserves imports cov (months)	4.7	4.5	3.6	3.4	3.1	3.0	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0
Interest rates													
Central bank key rate (%, eop)	7.75	7.50	7.25	7.25	7.25	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00
3-month rate (%, eop 4Q)	19.72	15.34	12.00	10.00	8.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00
Exchange rates													
UAH trade-weighted index (nominal)	56.87	53.81	56.94	54.94	53.85	52.27	52.50	52.50	52.50	50.61	52.47	52.47	52.47
UAH trade-weighted index (real)	54.70	50.94	52.79	51.46	53.95	52.80	53.45	53.88	54.30	51.89	53.31	52.83	52.35
UAH/US\$ (eop)	8.00	8.03	8.08	8.15	8.30	8.50	8.50	8.50	8.50	8.50	8.20	8.20	8.20
UAH/US\$ (average)	8.01	8.02	8.05	8.15	8.30	8.50	8.50	8.50	8.50	8.50	8.20	8.20	8.20
UAH/€ (eop)	10.36	10.72	10.23	9.94	10.21	10.46	10.20	10.20	10.20	10.20	9.84	9.84	9.84
UAH/€ (average)	10.13	10.20	10.11	9.94	10.21	10.46	10.20	10.20	10.20	10.20	9.84	9.84	9.84
US\$/€ (eop)	1.31	1.33	1.27	1.22	1.23	1.23	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20
US\$/€ (average)	1.31	1.31	1.26	1.22	1.23	1.23	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20
Population													
Population (million, eop)	45.63	45.59	45.56	45.54	45.51	45.53	45.50	45.48	45.45	45.50	45.48	45.46	45.42
Population (%YoY)	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1

 $Notes: eop-end \ of \ period; \ cov.-coverage; \ con'd-consolidated; \ ann-annualised.$

Sources: State Statistics Committee of Ukraine, National Bank of Ukraine, Investment Capital Ukraine LLC.

Appendices: Thematic charts & tables

The following pages contain the details charted and tabled data for the appropriate sections in this report.

Ukraine's political map after October 2012 (update)

This is an updated version of the parliamentary elections model, to determine the shape of political representation of the future parliament. The model was introduced and detailed in our previous issue of the *Quarterly Report* "Pinned again?" published in early April of this year. The main assumption of the model is that elections by party list will mirror the latest public opinion poll carried out by KIIS⁸ over 2012 (as of the time of writing this report, the latest poll was as of the end of May). As for the overall election results, which comprise the elections by: 1) party lists; and 2) the individual elections in the regional constituencies, the main unknown variable is how much the results of the latter will differ from those of the former. As for the former—nationwide elections by party list—we assume that they will mirror the latest opinion poll. As for the latter—the individual elections in the regional constituencies in the regional constituencies are adjusted for a "crowd out" ratio (ranging from 0-100%), which indicates the level of political or administrative advantage the representative of the ruling party will have over the representative of the opposition party.



Chart 19. Assumption #2: the crowd out ratio at 25%, probability rate 30%. Breakdown of parliament by parties and blocs, 100% = 450 MPs.



8 Kiev International Institute for Sociology. See http://kiis.com.ua/en/news/.



Chart 21. Assumption #4: the crowd out ratio at 75%, probability rate 15%. Breakdown of parliament by parties and blocs, 100% = 450 MPs.





Chart 22. Assumption #3: the crowd out ratio at 100%, probability rate 5%. Breakdown of parliament by parties and blocs, 100% = 450 MPs.

	Oct-	10	Feb-	11	Jun	11	Nov-	11	Feb-	12	Apr-1	2	May-	12	Oct-	12		Ca	lculation	s of elect	tion result	ts		WA	Current
Participation rate ¹ (% of total)	N/	/Α	53	%	54	%	53	%	639	%	55%	6	559	6	60	%	Share	Number	Tota	l number	s of seats	s: elected	by	num-	number
Party or bloc of parties	Share	Share of	Share S	Share of	Share	Share of	Share S	Share of	Share S	hare of	Share S	hare of	Share S	hare of	Share S	hare of	of total of	of seats	par	ty lists ar	nd via cor	nstituenci	es	ber of	of MPs
	of all	voted ²	of all	$voted^2$	of all	voted ²	of all	\mathbf{voted}^2	of all	\mathbf{voted}^2	votes ³ t	by party	by	crowd-ou	ut ratio (p	robability	/) ⁵	seats							
	voters (%)	(%)	voters (%)	(%)	voters (%)	(%)	voters (%)	(%)	voters (%)	(%)	voters (%)	(%)	voters (%)	(%)	voters (%)	(%)	(%)	list ⁴	0% (0%)	25% (30%)	50% (50%)	75% (15%)	100% (5%)		
Party of Regions (Azarov & Tigipko)	28.5	42.5	16.8	31.9	13.5	24.8	12.5	23.8	16.5	26.3	15.6	28.2	15.1	27.7	24.0	28.2	31.9	72	144	163	182	201	219	179	192
Strong Ukraine (Tigipko)	8.1	12.1	3.3	6.3	4.3	7.9	3.2	6.1	3.6	5.8															No seats
Batkivschyna (Tymoshenko & Yatsenyuk)	9.9	14.8	10.4	19.7	10.9	20.1	10.2	19.3	14.0	22.3	15.9	28.6	14.4	26.3	23.0	27.1	30.5	69	138	121	104	86	69	107	100
Front Zmin (Yatsenyuk)	5.3	7.9	6.5	12.4	7.7	14.1	7.0	13.4	7.9	12.6															No seat
Communist Party	3.4	5.1	3.3	6.3	4.0	7.3	5.1	9.6	5.7	9.0	4.7	8.5	5.4	9.8	8.0	9.4	10.6	24	48	54	60	67	74	59	25
Udar (Klichko)	2.3	3.4	1.6	3.0	3.1	5.7	4.4	8.4	4.6	7.3	5.9	10.6	8.4	15.5	11.5	13.5	15.3	34	68	60	51	43	34	53	No seats
Svoboda (Tyagnybok)	2.6	3.8	3.1	5.9	2.5	4.7	2.3	4.4	3.4	5.5	2.8	5.1	2.8	5.1	4.5	5.3	6.0	13	26	23	20	16	13	20	No seats
Ukrayina Vpered! (Korolevska)											2.3	4.1	2.6	4.8	4.3	5.1	5.7	13	26	29	33	37	41	32	No seats
Civil position (Hrytsenko)	0.8	1.2	1.2	2.3	1.2	2.2	1.1	2.1	1.9	3.0	1.7	3.1	1.0	1.9	1.7	2.0									
Other party/bloc	4.0	9.2	4.2	7.9	5.1	5.9	4.5	8.6	5.1	8.2	6.6	11.8	5.0	8.9	8.0	9.4									
Against all	9.9		17.6	4.3	17.1	4.1	18.0	4.3	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х											
Will not vote	10.0		14.7		14.8		14.3		16.1		19.8		18.1		15.0										
Difficult to say	15.2		17.3		15.8		17.4		21.2		24.7		27.2												
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	225	450	450	450	450	450	450	
By number of seats																									
Ruling parties and blocs																		109	218	246	275	305	334	270	
Opposition parties and blocs																		116	232	204	175	145	116	180	
By share of total (%)																									
Ruling parties and blocs																		48.4	48.4	54.7	61.1	67.8	74.2	60.0	
Opposition parties and blocs																		51.6	51.6	45.3	38.9	32.2	25.8	40.0	

Table 5. The opinion polls by KIIS held in the period of October 2010-May 2012 and modelling of parliamentary election results upon the data of most latest pol

Notes: [1] Participation rated as of date of poll that is the share of those who was going to take in the elections; [2] share of votes by those who was going to take part in the elections; [3] share of votes gained the parties and blocs that pass through the 5% election threshold; [4] number of seats the party or bloc gain through the election by party list; [5] total number of seats the party or bloc receives after the elections, it is a sum of number of seats gained through the election by party list; and a number of seats gained through the election by party list; [5] total number of seats the parties will win from the opposition parties; the ratio has five assumptions – from 0% to 100%; 0% means that incumbent ruling parties are gaining seats in the parliament in the exactly the same proportion as from the election by party list; 100% means that incumbent ruling parties would totally defeat the opposition parties in the constituencies and gain all the seats there;

[6] Ruling parties and blocs are consist of Party of Regions, Strong Ukraine and Communist Party; [7] weighted-average number seats calculated upon three assumptions, which have crowd-out ratio at 25%, 50% and 75, data weighted by probability ratio. X means that since December 2011 the law on the parliamentary elections does not provide a possibility for a voter to cast her/his vote against all listed parties and blocs;

Sources: KIIS, Investment Capital Ukraine LLC.

Quarterly GDP: Reported statistics and ICU's calculations

Chart 23. Ukraine's economy from the perspective of quarterly GDP volumes (left) and on-quarter growth rates (right)

History from 1Q96 till 1Q12. Data is adjusted for inflation and seasonal factors *Quarterly GDP size in constant prices of Dec-95, data is seasonally adjusted by*

three methods BV4.1, X-12 Arima and Tramo-Seats



Sources: State Statistics Committee of Ukraine, Investment Capital Ukraine LLC.

Chart 24. Reported on-year quarterly GDP growth (% YoY)



Quarterly GDP growth rates (% QoQ), data is seasonally adjusted by three methods BV4.1, X-12 Arima and Tramo-Seats



Sources: State Statistics Committee of Ukraine, Investment Capital Ukraine LLC.

Chart 25. Breakdown of GDP by key components from expenditure side (% of total, data for the last 12-month period)



Table 6. Ukraine quarterly GDP size: History from 4Q96 till 4Q11 (UAHm, if not otherwise indicated) Reported statistics and ICU calculations of quarter-on-quarter growth in real and seasonally-adjusted terms

Period	Repor	ted statistics	s on quarterly	GDP				ICU calcu	lations			
_	GDP at	Real	Real	Deflator	Real GDP	at cons	GDP at cor	ns prices ¹ (U/	AHm, SA)	Real GDP	growth (%Q	oQ, SA)
	current prices (UAHm)	growth (% YoY, qtly)	growth (% QoQ, SA)		growth (% YoY, ann'd)	prices ¹ (UAHm, NSA)	BV4.1	X-12- Arima by Demetra	Tramo- Seats by Demetra	BV4.1	X-12- Arima by Demetra	Tramo- Seats by Demetra
4Q96	24,454	-10.0		40.1	-9.7	17,404	16,006	16,228	15,918	0.9	4.6	-0.8
1097	18,728	-8.3		22.3	-9.8	14,114	15,779	15,780	15,779	-1.4	-2.8	-0.9
2Q97	20,485	-6.6		22.7	-9.1	14,117	15,723	15,586	15,659	-0.3	-1.2	-0.8
3Q97	26,076	0.5		15.3	-6.2	17,544	15,968	15,531	15,815	1.6	-0.4	1.0
4Q97	28,076	0.0		14.8	-3.7	17,405	16,073	16,258	15,974	0.7	4.7	1.0
1Q98	20,871	-0.3		11.8	-1.6	14,068	15,941	15,744	15,744	-0.8	-3.2	-1.4
2Q98	23,367	0.5		13.5	0.2	14,188	15,764	15,701	15,693	-1.1	-0.3	-0.3
3Q98	28,908	-0.1		10.9	0.0	17,538	15,431	15,435	15,498	-2.1	-1.7	-1.2
4Q98	29,447	-6.6		12.3	-1.7	16,256	15,157	15,236	15,221	-1.8	-1.3	-1.8
1Q04	66,981	12.9		12.8	10.5	20,030	22,260	22,406	22,284	3.0	3.7	2.5
2Q04	78,607	12.7		14.8	10.9	20,773	22,864	22,923	22,895	2.7	2.3	2.7
3Q04	99,405	14.3		14.7	13.0	26,909	23,530	23,455	23,332	2.9	2.3	1.9
4Q04	100,120	9.1		17.4	12.2	24,800	23,572	23,617	23,572	0.2	0.7	1.0
1Q05	88,104	5.0		25.3	10.2	21,027	23,598	23,474	23,647	0.1	-0.6	0.3
2Q05	101,707	3.5		25.1	7.9	21,484	23,638	23,568	23,678	0.2	0.4	0.1
3Q05	122,861	1.5		21.8	4.7	27,306	23,789	23,925	23,804	0.6	1.5	0.5
4Q05	128,780	1.9		26.3	3.0	25,257	24,027	24,093	24,109	1.0	0.7	1.3
1Q06	106,348	4.3		15.7	2.8	21,937	24,553	24,426	24,571	2.2	1.4	1.9
2Q06	126,319	7.2		15.9	3.7	23,023	25,129	25,078	25,141	2.3	2.7	2.3
3Q06	152,406	7.3		15.6	5.2	29,301	25,870	25,847	25,929	2.9	3.1	3.1
4Q06	159,080	9.6		12.8	7.1	27,659	26,289	26,419	26,351	1.6	2.2	1.6
1Q07	139,444	10.6		18.6	8.7	24,253	26,665	26,929	26,803	1.4	1.9	1.7
2Q07	166,869	9.7		20.4	9.3	25,260	26,945	27,304	27,326	1.1	1.4	2.0
3Q07	199,535	4.4		25.4	8.5	30,592	27,589	27,196	27,593	2.4	-0.4	1.0
4Q07	214,883	6.9		26.4	7.9	29,558	28,327	28,250	28,145	2.7	3.9	2.0
1008	191,459	8.5		26.6	7.4	26,303	28,645	29,125	28,482	1.1	3.1	1.2
2Q08	236,033	6.2		33.2	6.5	26,824	28,351	28,825	28,702	-1.0	-1.0	0.8
3Q08	276,451	4.3		32.9	6.5	31,892	28,988	28,511	29,030	2.2	-1.1	1.1
4Q08	244,113	-7.8		23.3	2.6	27,233	26,192	26,052	25,965	-9.6	-8.6	-10.6
1009	189,028	-19.6		22.8	-4.8	21,148	24,040	23,364	23,541	-8.2	-10.3	-9.3
2Q09	214,103	-17.3		9.7	-10.6	22,181	23,652	23,769	23,826	-1.6	1.7	1.2
3Q09	250,306	-15.7		7.4	-15.2	26,886	23,859	24,070	24,072	0.9	1.3	1.0
4Q09	259,908	-6.7		14.1	-15.0	25,412	24,335	24,363	24,336	2.0	1.2	1.1
1Q10	219,428	4.8		10.7	-9.1	22,176	24,781	24,465	24,539	1.8	0.4	0.8
2Q10	260,150	5.5		15.1	-3.4	23,415	24,973	25,073	24,945	0.8	2.5	1.7
3Q10	304,709	3.6		17.5	1.7	27,855	24,881	24,905	24,952	-0.4	-0.7	0.0
4Q10	310,320	3.3	0.7	15.6	4.3	26,247	25,253	25,230	25,328	1.5	1.3	1.5
1011	263,550	5.3	1.4	14.1	4.3	23,344	25,233	25,734	25,721	1.9	2.0	1.6
2Q11	316,480	3.8	0.4	17.2	4.4	24,305	25,895	26,038	25,965	0.7	1.2	1.0
3Q11	387,970	6.6	0.4	19.5	4.0	29,679	26,704	26,477	26,529	3.1	1.2	2.2
4Q11	363,948	4.6	2.9	12.1	5.1	29,079	26,663	26,575	26,767	-0.2	0.4	0.9

Notes: [1] at constant prices of December 1995; SA - seasonally adjusted data; NSA --- non seasonally adjusted data.

Sources: State Statistics Committee of Ukraine, Investment Capital Ukraine LLC.

ICU consumer basket: Observation of Kiev, New-York and Moscow prices

Table 7. ICU consumer basket as of end of June 2012

price observation in the urban areas of Ukraine, USA and Russia, i.e., in the countries' most populated cities - Kiev, New-York, and Moscow

Item of the basket	Description	Kiev, central district 30-Jun-12 Price (UAH)	New York metropol- itan area 1-Jul-12 Price (US\$)	Moscow, central district 30-Jun-12 Price (RUR)
Consumer goods				
Coca-cola (0.5 liter, plastic bottle)	Non-alcohol beverages	6.27	0.68	39.90
Beer Stella Artois (0.33 litre, glass bottle)	Alcoholic beverages	7.02	1.67	47.90
Bunch of fresh bananas (1 kg)	From Ecuador	10.99	1.52	40.90
Pack of milk (1 liter)	Locally produced, soft package, i.e., not glass bottle	8.37	2.03	59.90
Chicken meat (1 kg pack)	Locally produced and branded package, boneless breast	47.99	12.77	159.00
Canned pineapple (0.85 kg, can)	Pineapple circles, Dole brand	22.26	1.50	129.00
Pasta (0.5 kg)	Soft package, produced in Italy	18.54	2.12	62.90
Sugar (1 kg)		7.77	3.18	39.90
Package of table salt (0.5 kg)		9.79	0.53	17.90
Chicken eggs (10 units pack)	White eggs, standard size	12.36	2.60	49.88
Chocolate (100 g)	Made by Craft Foods Corp, Milka brand	11.44	2.15	62.90
Toothpaste (100ml package)	Colgate	22.98	2.40	91.90
Shampoo (200ml package)	Head & Shoulders brand, for normal hair	29.31	3.11	159.00
Toilet paper (4 rolls package)	Kleenex Cottonelle brand, white paper, Regular toilet tissue	18.78	4.32	79.00
Magazine	Men's Health, local edition, A4 format (standard one, not a pocket book format)	26.89	4.99	119.00
Gasoline (1 liter)	Lukiol, regular	10.95	1.03	29.97
Services				
Underground commute ticket	Within the central part of the city	2.00	2.25	28.00
Cinema ticket	Thursday's night price for the seat with good location, Hollywood film	45.00	11.25	350.00
Total basket value (in local currency)		318.71	60.10	1,566.95
Exchange rate versus US dollar at spot ma	rket as of date of observation	8.026	8.059	1.000
Total basket value (in US\$)		39.55	60.10	48.33
Overvalued "+" / undervalued "-" (%)				
UAH vs USD		-34.19		
UAH vs RUR		-18.16		
Fair value in the long-run as of observ	vation date			
UAH per USD		5.303		
UAH per RUR		0.203		

Source: Investment Capital Ukraine.





Chart 28. Fresh banana 1 kg bunch (US\$)





Chart 29. Chicken meat 1 kg pack of boneless breast (US\$)

Price history from February 2010 till June 2012



Chart 30. Chicken eggs 10-unit pack (US\$)

Price history from February 2010 till June 2012



Chart 31. Pasta 0.5 kg soft package Italy-made (US\$)

Price history from February 2010 till June 2012







Chart 34. Shampoo 200ml bottle Head & Shoulders (US\$)

Price history from February 2010 till June 2012



Chart 36. Value gap of ICU basket in UAH vs USD and RUB (%)



Price history from February 2010 till June 2012

Chart 33. Coca-Cola 0.5 litre plastic bottle (US\$)

Price history from February 2010 till June 2012



Chart 35. Magazine Men's Health, A4 format (US\$)

Price history from February 2010 till June 2012



Chart 37. An exchange rate level of UAH per USD and UAH per RUB, which would eliminate the value gap of ICU basket

Price history from February 2010 till June 2012











Disclosures

ANALYST CERTIFICATION

This research publication has been prepared by the analyst(s), whose name(s) appear on the front page of this publication. The analyst(s) hereby certifies that the views expressed within this publication accurately reflect her/his own views about the subject financial instruments or issuers and no part of her/his compensation was, is, or will be directly or indirectly related to the inclusion of specific recommendations or views within this research publication.



Office 44, 11th floor, LEONARDO Business Centre 19-21 Bogdan Khmelnytsky Street Kiev, 01030 Ukraine Phone/Fax +38 044 2200120

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Valeria Gontareva valeria.gontareva@icu.ua

CORPORATE FINANCE TEL. +38 044 2200120

Makar Paseniuk, Managing Director makar.paseniuk@icu.ua	Volodymyr Demchyshyn, Director volodymyr.demchyshyn@icu.ua	Ruslan Kilmukhametov, Vice President ruslan.kilmukhametov@icu.ua
FIXED-INCOME SALES AND TRADING TEL	. +38 044 2201621	
Konstantin Stetsenko, Managing Director	Sergiy Byelyayev, Fixed-Income Trading	Vitaliy Sivach, Fixed-Income Trading
konstantin.stetsenko@icu.ua	sergiy.byelyayev@icu.ua	vitaliy.sivach@icu.ua
EQUITY SALES AND TRADING TEL. +38 04	44 2201621	
Vlad Sinani, Director, Equities	Julia Pecheritsa, International Equity Sale	es
vlad.sinani@icu.ua	julia.pecheritsa@icu.ua	
RESEARCH DEPARTMENT TEL. +38 044 2	200120	
Alexander Valchyshen,	Alexander Martynenko	Pavlo Bidak
Head of Research	Senior equity analyst (Metals & Mining)	Equity analyst (Food & Agribusiness)
alexander.valchyshen@icu.ua	alexander.martynenko@icu.ua	pavlo.bidak@icu.ua
Taras Kotovych	An drive Kerner blee	Lee Daniels
	Andriy Kyrushko	
Fixed-income analyst	Fixed-income analyst (Banks)	Editor
Fixed-income analyst taras.kotovych@icu.ua	• •	

Investment Capital Ukraine LLC is regulated by Securities and Stock Market State Commission of Ukraine (licence numbers: dealer activity AB 440399, broker activity AB 440398, underwriting activity AB 440400 dated 17 November 2008).

DISCLAIMER

This research publication has been prepared by Investment Capital Ukraine LLC solely for information purposes for its clients. It does not constitute an investment advice or an offer or solicitation for the purchase of sale of any financial instrument. While reasonable care has been taken to ensure that the information contained herein is not untrue or misleading at the time of publication, Investment Capital Ukraine makes no representation that it is accurate or complete. The information contained herein is subject to change without notice. Copyright and database rights protection exists in this report and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express consent of Investment Capital Ukraine LLC. All rights are reserved. Any investments referred to herein may

involve significant risk, are not necessarily available in all jurisdictions, may be illiquid and may not be suitable for all investors. The value of, or income from, any investments referred to herein may fluctuate and/or be affected by changes in exchange rates. Past performance is not indicative of future results. Investors should make their own investigations and investment decisions without relying on this report. Only investors with sufficient knowledge and experience in financial matters to evaluate the merits and risks should consider an investment in any issuer or market discussed herein and other persons should not take any action on the basis of this report.



Additional information is available upon request.